Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form							
Application No.: 10/084,856 Examiner: Jamie Swartz			First Named Applicant:Art3684S	Douglas U. Mennie Status of Application: Non-Final			
Tentativ	ve Participants:						
(1) <u>W</u>	Villiam Pegg		(2) Examiner Swartz				
(3) <u>V</u>	ince Wiltse (C	ummins Allison)	(4) _John Blake (Cumr	mins Allison)			
	urtis Hallowell d Date of Interv	(Cummins Allison) iew: Februa	, ,	le 'ime: <u>Afterr</u>	noon Easte	ern Time	
Type of	Interview Reque	ested:					
(1)	Telephonic	(2) X Personal	(3) Video Conf	erence			
Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: X NO							
Issues To Be Discussed							
Issue (Rej.	es , Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
	103(a) ejection	271, 275-280	Molbak et al. US 5,620,079 Small US 5,513,117 Geib et al. US 6,042,470				
	103(a) ejection	272	Molbak et al. US 5,620,079 Small US 5,513,117 Geib et al. US 6,042,470 Avnet et al. US 5,291,003				
	103(a) ejection	273	Molbak et al. US 5,620,079 Small US 5,513,117 Geib et al. US 6,042,470 Mays et al. US 5547062 Ramsey et al. US 5842188				
	103(a) ejection	274	Molbak et al. US 5,620,079 Small US 5,513,117 Geib et al. US 6,042,470 Avnet et al. US 5,291,003 Mays et al. US 5547062 Ramsey et al. US 5842188				
X	Continuation She	et Attached					
		guments to be Present			4 2000 - 2000		
view a mo self- featt com the v Acco	or of Small (US 55) onetary value that service machine ures. Instead, the prises a paper vowoucher printer prodingly, Molbak	513117 A) in further vit is related to a total value (col. 4, line 59 – col. 5 cited passages of Molucher on which is printereferably comprises "a fails to disclose, <i>inter</i> orage medium of said c	5 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatent ew of Geib et al. (US 6042470 A lue (col. 6, lines 6-20, col. 2, lines, line 2)." These assertions are in bak, and the balance of Molbak, otted certain information (see, e.g., thermal printing system, laser prialia, "selecting a card from a plura ard a monetary value that is related	<ol> <li>Molbak is alleges 30-60)" and "disp correct, as Molbak lisclose a "voucher col. 10, line 64 to c nting system, inkje dity of cards that are</li> </ol>	ed to teach "storing ensing said card fi fails to disclose the dispensing mach tol. 11, line 2, disc t system, or the lile not associated with	g to a card from the hese nine that closing that ke").	

13298134.1 247171-000373USD1

Small is alleged to disclose "selecting a card from a plurality of cards that are not associated with any value" (citing col. 6, lines 6-20, col. 2, lines 30-60). However, Small relates to a "automated vending apparatus for simultaneously dispensing personalized greeting cards and electronically vendable gifts" (col. 2, lines 30-31). However, the ability to select a card from a plurality of cards relates merely to selections from a card for a birthday, a wedding, Christmas, etc. (see FIG. 5). The only card having a storage medium to which a value could be stored is a telephone calling card (see FIG. 10). However, FIG. 9 shows that the telephone gift card is to provided to a customer over the counter after the clerk has authorized printing of the greeting card (see also col. 10, lines 12-20). Even were Geib to be accepted to disclose that which is alleged by the Examiner, the combination fails to disclose or suggest each and every element of the claims and the Examiner has not set forth a prima facie case supporting the obviousness of such combination.

Claim 272 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molbak et al. (US 5620079 A) in view of Small (US 5513117 A), Geib et al. (US 6042470 A), and Avnet et al. (US 5291003 A). The Examiner generally cites, in essence, the entirety of Avnet (citing col. 1, line 60 to col. 5, line 40) for disclosing "receiving a credit card in a card module of the self-service machine; accessing, using a communication device, an account associated with the credit card; deducting a specified amount from a line of credit associated with said credit card; and adding to said total value of the bulk coins the specified amount prior to the act of dispensing the card having the storage medium for storing the monetary value that is related to said total value". The Examiner's allegations aside, Avnet fails to make up for the deficiencies of the combination of Molbak, Small, and Geib and fails to disclose or suggest "selecting a card from a plurality of cards that are not associated with any value; storing on a storage medium of said card a monetary value that is related to said total value; and dispensing said card from the self-service machine." Instead, Avent relates to businesses and institutions that "provide food to their employees and guests" that may be purchased from their own vending machines (col. 1, lines 23-30)(not cited by Examiner). Avent discloses only the vending of a single, specific-use card for use with a particular vending machine or group of vending machines.

Claim 273 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molbak et al. (US 5620079 A) in view of Small (US 5513117 A), Geib et al. (US 6042470 A), Mays et al. (US 5547062 A), and Ramsey et al. (US 5842188 A). Neither Mays nor Ramsey make up for the deficiencies of the combination of Molbak, Small, and Geib and the Examiner has not set forth a prima facie case supporting the obviousness of such combination. Further, it is noted that the Examiner's reliance on the Applicant's own disclosure in supporting the assertion that one of "ordinary skill in the art," as opposed to the instant inventors, would have reasonably looked to Ramsey (see page 10 of Office Action).

Claim 274 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Molbak et al. (US 5620079 A) in view of Small (US 5513117 A), Geib et al. (US 6042470 A), Mays et al. (US 5547062 A), Ramsey et al. (US 5842188 A), and Avent. At least for the reasons noted above, the combination fails to reasonably disclose or suggest all of the claim elements and the Examiner has not set forth a prima facie case supporting the obviousness of such combination.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on					
/William D. PEGG, Reg. # 42,988/					
Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature	Examiner/SPE Signature				
William D. Pegg					
Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative					
42988					
Registration Number, if applicable					

13298134.1 247171-000373USD1